Ketib (בתיב) --- Qere (קרא)

The *ketib* and *qere* has been the subject of many scholarly works. The classic work on the subject is:

Gordis, R. *The Biblical Text in the Making: A Study of the Kethib-Qere.* New York: Ktav Pub. House, 1971.

On page 82---see at the end of this text--- Gordis categorizes all the pairs into "equally satisfactory", "equally unsatisfactory", "*ketib* superior to *qere*" and "*qere* superior to *ketib*".

As regards the pairs that I have been using, in *Exodus* 16, verses 2 and 7, Gordis considers them equally satisfactory:

LIST 56

KQ, IN DIFFERENT CONJUGATIONS WITH IDENTICAL

Meanings.		
qrv	כתיב	
וילונו	וילינו	שמ' מו, 2
תלינו	תְלוֹנוּ	מז, 7
וילינו	נילונו	במ' יד, 36
מלינו	תָלונו	מז, 11
	קרי וַיִלונו וַלִינוּ וַיַלִינוּ	כחיב קרי וַיַלִינוּ וַיִילוֹנוּ תְּלוֹנוּ תַּלִינוּ וַיִלוֹנוּ וַיַלִינוּ

In fact if we look at the *Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew and English Lexicon*, p. 534a we see that the *ketib* in 16:2 and the *qere* in 16:7 are both niphal forms, whereas the *ketib* in 16:7 and the *qere* in 16:2 are both hiphal forms. In other words, the *ketib* in

one verse becomes (aside from the person) the *qere* in the other!! The root $\forall i \forall$ only appears a few times in the *Bible* and so it is difficult to arrive at a definite conclusion, but apparently both the niphal and hiphal seem to mean "to murmer (against)".

On page 20, Gordis lists sixteen examples (among many) of this type, where the *ketib* in one is the *qere* in another and uses this to argue that the *qere* can not be simply viewed as a correction.

In some cases the *qere* does not make sense whereas as the *ketib* does. This is the case in *Genesis* 30:11. Here the text with the *qere* would read:

וַתִּאֹמֶר לֵאָה בְּגָד וַתִּקְרָא אֶת־שְׁמִוֹ גְּד.

which does not make sense.

But text with the *ketib* reads: $\mathbf{\Sigma} \times \mathbf{\Sigma}$ which goes with the last words:

וַתִּקְרָא אֶת־שְׁמִוֹ גְד.

"Leah said `What luck' So she named him Gad." [Jewish Publication Society *Torah*, p. 53, fn. c].

So in this case the *ketib* superior to *qere*.

APPENDIX A

THE DETAILED RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS

	Relative Value	e of the K(2
1.	K and Q equally satisfactory		
	a. definite	657	
	b. doubtful	58	
	Total		715
2.	K and Q equally unsatisfactor	ry	
	a. definite	25	
	b. doubtful	4	
	Total		29
3.	K superior to Q		
	a. definite	108	
	b. doubtful	25	
	Total		133
4.	Q superior to K		
	a. definite	132	
	b. doubtful	68	
	Total		200
	GRAND TOTAL	1077	
	Lists #1-8	273	
		1350	

Percentage Totals

K and Q equally satisfactory	66.38%
K and Q equally unsatisfactory	2.69%
K superior to Q	12.34%
Q superior to K	18.57%